



14/05/2008 00:06

To <beStrong@fhb.gov.hk>
cc
bcc
Subject My views

Urgent Return receipt Sign Encrypt

I will not support this healthcare reform. I especially dislike options 3, 5, and 6. The following comments are specifically concerning these.

The Hong Kong people are not stupid. There are so many misleading information on the document that can be spotted. The figures for future increase in healthcare costs are grossly exaggerated and viewed from a biased perspective. Over the past years, our healthcare costs have not increased, in terms of proportion of our total expenditure. The Government is not enough committed to our healthcare. The Government is very rich and should spend much much more on the healthcare.

Even if the Government really cannot afford our present healthcare system, the tax can be raised! We can have some form of healthcare tax, or more tax on cigarette and alcohol. Every cent I put as tax into the healthcare system will be spent on our own health. This is unlike any insurance plan because insurance companies are looking for profit. Insurance companies will always take a part of our money for healthcare. No regulations can eliminate that. WHY SHOULD I SPEND MONEY TO FEED THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY???

It is unethical to separate patients into two groups: those with accounts and others without. Why should the poor receive inferior service!? The government healthcare system should not provide private service or extra things. It should have a clearly defined role and clearly defined areas of service, and all citizens should be equally entitled to enjoy these services because it is their rights! All patients should be treated the same.

The system may be more acceptable if everyone has an insurance account, including the poor who cannot afford it. If one is too poor to afford it, the Government should pay for him to support his account, so that nobody should receive inferior or superior service in a public hospital.

This is supposed to be a healthcare reform, but there is no mention exactly how the service will improve. The Hospital Authority should prove that it can improve the service!

The problem of our healthcare system is private-public sector imbalance. I can't see how this can improve with the proposals supported by the government.

The proposed healthcare insurance is totally different from the usual insurance we know. Because once it is adopted it will be law! People can never quit the system if they eventually find that they don't like the insurance plan. We should learn the lesson from the MPF.

Once the middle class commit to an insurance plan, the choices are more restricted and they'll have to stay in public hospitals. I can't see how these proposals can help the middle class. It is totally unfair to them.

I support the simple solution: taxation. The service under Hospital Authority is not good, and we should put in more money, especially for medical staff manpower. This is obvious to anyone who has ever used a public hospital. The Government is very rich and there should be no problem in putting in

more money.

I can't see how the present system is not sustainable, because if the costs grow, so will our economy. From the experience of the last decade, it is clear from the figures that healthcare costs can be controlled and maintained at the same proportion of total Government expenditure.

If the Government is out of money, the use the reserves, or raise the tax. I prefer to pay for my healthcare directly through tax.

You can publish my views but I WISH TO REMAIN ANONYMOUS. Thanks.